Chapter IV

The Discovery of the Nation-Soul

The PRIMAL law and purpose of the individual life is to seek its own self-development. Consciously or half-consciously or with an obscure unconscious groping it strives always and rightly strives at self-formulation,—to find itself, to discover within itself the law and power of its own being and to fulfil it. This aim in it is fundamental, right, inevitable because, even after all qualifications have been made and caveats entered, the individual is not merely the ephemeral physical creature, a form of mind and body that aggregates and dissolves, but a being, a living power of the eternal Truth, a self-manifesting spirit. In the same way the primal law and purpose of a society, community or nation is to seek its own self-fulfilment; it strives rightly to find itself, to become aware within itself of the law and power of its own being and to fulfil it as perfectly as possible, to realise all its potentialities, to live its own self-revealing life. The reason is the same; for this too is a being, a living power of the eternal Truth, a self-manifestation of the cosmic Spirit, and it is there to express and fulfil in its own way and to the degree of its capacities the special truth and power and meaning of the cosmic Spirit that is within it. The nation or society, like the individual, has a body, an organic life, a moral and aesthetic temperament, a developing mind and a soul behind all these signs and powers for the sake of which they exist. One may say even that, like the individual, it essentially is a soul rather than has one; it is a group-soul that, once having attained to a separate distinctness, must become more and more self-conscious and find itself more and more fully as it develops its corporate action and mentality and its organic self-expressive life.

The parallel is just at every turn because it is more than a parallel; it is a real identity of nature. There is only this difference that the group-soul is much more complex because it has
a great number of partly self-conscious mental individuals for
the constituents of its physical being instead of an association of
merely vital subconscious cells. At first, for this very reason, it
seems more crude, primitive and artificial in the forms it takes;
for it has a more difficult task before it, it needs a longer time to
find itself, it is more fluid and less easily organic. When it does
succeed in getting out of the stage of vaguely conscious self-
formation, its first definite self-consciousness is objective much
more than subjective. And so far as it is subjective, it is apt
to be superficial or loose and vague. This subjectiveness comes
out very strongly in the ordinary emotional conception of the
country which centres round its geographical, its most outward
and material aspect, the passion for the land in which we dwell,
the land of our fathers, the land of our birth, country, patria,
vaterland, janma-bhūmi. When we realise that the land is only
the shell of the body, though a very living shell indeed and potent
in its influences on the nation, when we begin to feel that its more
real body is the men and women who compose the nation-unit,
a body ever changing, yet always the same like that of the indi-
vidual man, we are on the way to a truly subjective communal
consciousness. For then we have some chance of realising that
even the physical being of the society is a subjective power, not
a mere objective existence. Much more is it in its inner self a
great corporate soul with all the possibilities and dangers of the
soul-life.

The objective view of society has reigned throughout the
historical period of humanity in the West; it has been sufficiently
strong though not absolutely engrossing in the East. Rulers,
people and thinkers alike have understood by their national
existence a political status, the extent of their borders, their
economic well-being and expansion, their laws, institutions and
the working of these things. For this reason political and eco-
nomic motives have everywhere predominated on the surface
and history has been a record of their operations and influence.
The one subjective and psychological force consciously admitted
and with difficulty deniable has been that of the individual. This
predominance is so great that most modern historians and some
political thinkers have concluded that objective necessities are by law of Nature the only really determining forces, all else is result or superficial accidents of these forces. Scientific history has been conceived as if it must be a record and appreciation of the environmental motives of political action, of the play of economic forces and developments and the course of institutional evolution. The few who still valued the psychological element have kept their eye fixed on individuals and are not far from conceiving of history as a mass of biographies. The truer and more comprehensive science of the future will see that these conditions only apply to the imperfectly self-conscious period of national development. Even then there was always a greater subjective force working behind individuals, policies, economic movements and the change of institutions; but it worked for the most part subconsciously, more as a subliminal self than as a conscious mind. It is when this subconscious power of the group-soul comes to the surface that nations begin to enter into possession of their subjective selves; they set about getting, however vaguely or imperfectly, at their souls.

Certainly, there is always a vague sense of this subjective existence at work even on the surface of the communal mentality. But so far as this vague sense becomes at all definite, it concerns itself mostly with details and unessentials, national idiosyncrasies, habits, prejudices, marked mental tendencies. It is, so to speak, an objective sense of subjectivity. As man has been accustomed to look on himself as a body and a life, the physical animal with a certain moral or immoral temperament, and the things of the mind have been regarded as a fine flower and attainment of the physical life rather than themselves anything essential or the sign of something essential, so and much more has the community regarded that small part of its subjective self of which it becomes aware. It clings indeed always to its idiosyncrasies, habits, prejudices, but in a blind objective fashion, insisting on their most external aspect and not at all going behind them to that for which they stand, that which they try blindly to express.

This has been the rule not only with the nation, but with
all communities. A Church is an organised religious community
and religion, if anything in the world, ought to be subjective;
for its very reason for existence — where it is not merely an eth-
ical creed with a supernatural authority — is to find and realise
the soul. Yet religious history has been almost entirely, except
in the time of the founders and their immediate successors,
insistence on things objective, rites, ceremonies, authority,
church governments, dogmas, forms of belief. Witness the whole
external religious history of Europe, that strange sacrilegious
tragi-comedy of discords, sanguinary disputations, “religious”
wars, persecutions, State churches and all else that is the very
negation of the spiritual life. It is only recently that men have
begun seriously to consider what Christianity, Catholicism, Is-
lam really mean and are in their soul, that is to say, in their very
reality and essence.

But now we have, very remarkably, very swiftly coming to
the surface this new psychological tendency of the communal
consciousness. Now first we hear of the soul of a nation and,
what is more to the purpose, actually see nations feeling for their
souls, trying to find them, seriously endeavouring to act from
the new sense and make it consciously operative in the common
life and action. It is only natural that this tendency should have
been, for the most part, most powerful in new nations or in those
struggling to realise themselves in spite of political subjection
or defeat. For these need more to feel the difference between
themselves and others so that they may assert and justify their
individuality as against the powerful superlife which tends to
absorb or efface it. And precisely because their objective life is
feeble and it is difficult to affirm it by its own strength in the
adverse circumstances, there is more chance of their seeking for
their individuality and its force of self-assertion in that which
is subjective and psychological or at least in that which has a
subjective or a psychological significance.

Therefore in nations so circumstanced this tendency of self-
finding has been most powerful and has even created in some
of them a new type of national movement, as in Ireland and
India. This and no other was the root-meaning of Swadeshism
in Bengal and of the Irish movement in its earlier less purely political stages. The emergence of Bengal as a sub-nation in India was throughout a strongly subjective movement and in its later development it became very consciously that. The movement of 1905 in Bengal pursued a quite new conception of the nation not merely as a country, but a soul, a psychological, almost a spiritual being and, even when acting from economical and political motives, it sought to dynamise them by this subjective conception and to make them instruments of self-expression rather than objects in themselves. We must not forget, however, that in the first stages these movements followed in their superficial thought the old motives of an objective and mostly political self-consciousness. The East indeed is always more subjective than the West and we can see the subjective tinge even in its political movements whether in Persia, India or China, and even in the very imitative movement of the Japanese resurgence. But it is only recently that this subjectivism has become self-conscious. We may therefore conclude that the conscious and deliberate subjectivism of certain nations was only the sign and precursor of a general change in humanity and has been helped forward by local circumstances, but was not really dependent upon them or in any sense their product.

This general change is incontestable; it is one of the capital phenomena of the tendencies of national and communal life at the present hour. The conception to which Ireland and India have been the first to give a definite formula, “to be ourselves”, — so different from the impulse and ambition of dependent or unfortunate nations in the past which was rather to become like others,— is now more and more a generally accepted motive of national life. It opens the way to great dangers and errors, but it is the essential condition for that which has now become the demand of the Time-Spirit on the human race, that it shall find subjectively, not only in the individual, but in the nation and in the unity of the human race itself, its deeper being, its inner law, its real self and live according to that and no longer by artificial standards. This tendency was preparing itself everywhere and partly coming to the surface before the War, but most
prominently, as we have said, in new nations like Germany or in dependent nations like Ireland and India. The shock of the war brought about from its earliest moments an immediate — and for the time being a militant — emergence of the same deeper self-consciousness everywhere. Crude enough were most of its first manifestations, often of a really barbarous and reactionary crudeness. Especially, it tended to repeat the Teutonic lapse, preparing not only “to be oneself”, which is entirely right, but to live solely for and to oneself, which, if pushed beyond a certain point, becomes a disastrous error. For it is necessary, if the subjective age of humanity is to produce its best fruits, that the nations should become conscious not only of their own but of each other’s souls and learn to respect, to help and to profit, not only economically and intellectually but subjectively and spiritually, by each other.

The great determining force has been the example and the aggression of Germany; the example, because no other nation has so self-consciously, so methodically, so intelligently, and from the external point of view so successfully sought to find, to dynamise, to live itself and make the most of its own power of being; its aggression, because the very nature and declared watchwords of the attack have tended to arouse a defensive self-consciousness in the assailed and forced them to perceive what was the source of this tremendous strength and to perceive too that they themselves must seek consciously an answering strength in the same deeper sources. Germany was for the time the most remarkable present instance of a nation preparing for the subjective stage because it had, in the first place, a certain kind of vision — unfortunately intellectual rather than illuminated — and the courage to follow it — unfortunately again a vital and intellectual rather than a spiritual hardihood, — and, secondly, being master of its destinies, was able to order its own life so as to express its self-vision. We must not be misled by appearances into thinking that the strength of Germany was created by Bismarck or directed by the Kaiser Wilhelm II. Rather the appearance of Bismarck was in many respects a misfortune for the growing nation because his rude and powerful hand
precipitated its subjectivity into form and action at too early a stage; a longer period of incubation might have produced results less disastrous to itself, if less violently stimulative to humanity. The real source of this great subjective force which has been so much disfigured in its objective action, was not in Germany’s statesmen and soldiers — for the most part poor enough types of men — but came from her great philosophers, Kant, Hegel, Fichte, Nietzsche, from her great thinker and poet Goethe, from her great musicians, Beethoven and Wagner, and from all in the German soul and temperament which they represented. A nation whose master achievement has lain almost entirely in the two spheres of philosophy and music, is clearly predestined to lead in the turn to subjectivism and to produce a profound result for good or evil on the beginnings of a subjective age.

This was one side of the predestination of Germany; the other is to be found in her scholars, educationists, scientists, organisers. It was the industry, the conscientious diligence, the fidelity to ideas, the honest and painstaking spirit of work for which the nation has been long famous. A people may be highly gifted in the subjective capacities, and yet if it neglects to cultivate this lower side of our complex nature, it will fail to build that bridge between the idea and imagination and the world of facts, between the vision and the force, which makes realisation possible; its higher powers may become a joy and inspiration to the world, but it will never take possession of its own world until it has learned the humbler lesson. In Germany the bridge was there, though it ran mostly through a dark tunnel with a gulf underneath; for there was no pure transmission from the subjective mind of the thinkers and singers to the objective mind of the scholars and organisers. The misapplication by Treitschke of the teaching of Nietzsche to national and international uses which would have profoundly disgusted the philosopher himself, is an example of this obscure transmission. But still a transmission there was. For more than a half-century Germany turned a deep eye of subjective introspection on herself and things and ideas in search of the truth of her own being and of the world, and for another half-century a patient eye of scientific research on the
objective means for organising what she had or thought she had
gained. And something was done, something indeed powerful
and enormous, but also in certain directions, not in all, mis-
shapen and disconcerting. Unfortunately, those directions were
precisely the very central lines on which to go wrong is to miss
the goal.

It may be said, indeed, that the last result of the something
done — the war, the collapse, the fierce reaction towards the
rigid, armoured, aggressive, formidable Nazi State, — is not only
discouraging enough, but a clear warning to abandon that path
and go back to older and safer ways. But the misuse of great
powers is no argument against their right use. To go back is
impossible; the attempt is always, indeed, an illusion; we have all
to do the same thing which Germany has attempted, but to take
care not to do it likewise. Therefore we must look beyond the
red mist of blood of the War and the dark fuliginous confusion
and chaos which now oppress the world to see why and where
was the failure. For her failure which became evident by the turn
her action took and was converted for the time being into total
collapse, was clear even then to the dispassionate thinker who
seeks only the truth. That befell her which sometimes befalls the
seeker on the path of Yoga, the art of conscious self-finding, —
a path exposed to far profounder perils than beset ordinarily
the average man, — when he follows a false light to his spiritual
ruin. She had mistaken her vital ego for herself; she had sought
for her soul and found only her force. For she had said, like the
Asura, “I am my body, my life, my mind, my temperament,”
and become attached with a Titanic force to these; especially
she had said, “I am my life and body,” and than that there can
be no greater mistake for man or nation. The soul of man or
nation is something more and diviner than that; it is greater
than its instruments and cannot be shut up in a physical, a vital,
a mental or a temperamental formula. So to confine it, even
though the false formation be embodied in the armour-plated
social body of a huge collective human dinosaur, can only
stifle the growth of the inner Reality and end in decay or the
extinction that overtakes all that is unplastic and unadaptable.
It is evident that there is a false as well as a true subjectivism and the errors to which the subjective trend may be liable are as great as its possibilities and may well lead to capital disasters. This distinction must be clearly grasped if the road of this stage of social evolution is to be made safe for the human race.